



Developing and Evaluating an Audit-and-Feedback Tool for PrEP Decision-Making in Georgia

ANZOR TCHAVTCHAVADZE PHD(C)

GIFT SCHOLAR

Problem Statement

PrEP programme is available in Georgia, but initiation of prep is low (only 1850 participants in 2025) planning is also non-existent the cascade is not available data are collected by different service providers, but the information is fragmented and not routinely presented in one clear view.

- ▶ Challenge: Because data are not organised, national stakeholders cannot easily track the PrEP cascade, compare service performance, or identify where people are being lost.
- ▶ Goal: Develop and evaluate an audit-and-feedback dashboard that helps stakeholders use routine PrEP data for timely programme decisions.
- ▶ Without a simple feedback tool, PrEP expansion decisions may rely on incomplete or delayed information.



Implementation Framework



CFIR domain	Relevance to this project
Outer setting	Coordination and data sharing between AIDS Center, service providers, NGOs, NCDC, Ministry and donors
Inner setting	Existing data systems, staff workload, reporting routines and organisational readiness
Individuals	Data literacy, motivation and trust among people expected to enter, interpret or use the data
Implementation process	Stakeholder engagement, co-design, feedback meetings and adaptation of the dashboard
Intervention characteristics	Simplicity, usability, perceived usefulness and compatibility of the dashboard

Implementation Framework

► **Evaluation framework: Proctor implementation outcomes**

Used to evaluate the dashboard's:

- Feasibility
- Acceptability
- Appropriateness

Secondary

- Adoption
- Fidelity
- Cost
- Penetration
- Sustainability



Barriers and Facilitators to Implementation

Barriers identified	Existing facilitators
PrEP data are fragmented across the AIDS Center, service providers and NGOs.	Patient-level PrEP data are already being collected.
Cooperation and data sharing between organisations are limited.	Records can be deidentified and individuals can be followed over time.
Combining, cleaning and regularly updating data may create additional workload.	An early mockup can support concrete discussion and co-design with intended users.
PrEP data are not currently presented through one consolidated analytical view.	The intended national-level users have been identified: the Ministry of Health, NCDC and donors.



These are preliminary observations from informal individual conversations and will be validated through formal stakeholder interviews.

ERIC strategies

ERIC strategy	Application in this project
Conduct local needs assessment	Formal stakeholder interviews on data needs, barriers and workflows
Develop quality monitoring tool	Build the audit-and-feedback dashboard
Identify and prepare champions	Engage motivated users from NCDC, service providers or NGOs
Conduct educational meetings	Short orientation on dashboard use and indicator interpretation
Audit and provide feedback	Regular review of PrEP cascade and medication indicators
Provide ongoing consultation	Technical support during pilot use

Early Dashboard Prototype

Purpose:

To demonstrate the potential of a dashboard for routine PrEP program monitoring and decision-making.




Georgian Implementation Fellowship Training Summer Bootcamp

Tbilisi, Georgia – July 30 – August 2, 2025

Early Dashboard Prototype

Purpose:


To demonstrate a draft audit-and-feedback tool for PrEP programme monitoring and decision-making.



Early Dashboard Prototype

Purpose:

To demonstrate a draft audit-and-feedback tool for PrEP programme monitoring and decision-making.



Early Dashboard Prototype

Purpose:

To demonstrate the potential of a dashboard for routine PrEP program monitoring and decision-making.




Georgian Implementation Fellowship Training Summer Bootcamp

Tbilisi, Georgia – July 30 – August 2, 2025

Early Dashboard Prototype

Purpose:

To demonstrate a draft audit-and-feedback tool for PrEP programme monitoring and decision-making.



The image shows a mobile app prototype for a dashboard titled "Minimum PrEP". It features a dark blue header with the title in white. Below the header, there are five filter sections, each with a title and a dropdown menu. The first section, "Reporting period", has a date range from "Jan 2025" to "Jun 2026". The other four sections, "Service provider", "Geographic area", "New versus continuing user", and "Age group", all have a dropdown menu set to "All".

Minimum PrEP		
Reporting period		
Jan 2025	to	Jun 2026
Service provider		
All ▼		
Geographic area		
All ▼		
New versus continuing user		
All ▼		
Age group		
All ▼		



Georgian Implementation Fellowship Training Summer Bootcamp

Tbilisi, Georgia – July 30 – August 2, 2025

Early Dashboard Prototype

Purpose:

To demonstrate the potential of a dashboard for routine PrEP program monitoring and decision-making.




Georgian Implementation Fellowship Training Summer Bootcamp

Tbilisi, Georgia – July 30 – August 2, 2025

Early Dashboard Prototype

Purpose:

To demonstrate a draft audit-and-feedback tool for PrEP programme monitoring and decision-making.



Planned Evaluation of the Dashboard

- ▶ The pilot will assess whether the audit-and-feedback dashboard can realistically support routine PrEP programme decision-making.
- ▶ **Primary Proctor outcomes**
 - ▶ **Feasibility**
Can existing deidentified PrEP data be combined, updated and displayed in a usable dashboard?
 - ▶ **Acceptability**
Do service providers and national stakeholders find the dashboard understandable and useful?
 - ▶ **Appropriateness**
Does the dashboard fit the practical decision-making needs of the PrEP programme?
- ▶ **Secondary outcome**
 - ▶ **Adoption**
Do intended users begin using the dashboard during the pilot?

Conclusions and Next Steps

- ▶ Conduct formal stakeholder interviews.
- ▶ Develop the data-access, sharing and deidentification procedures.
- ▶ Finalize and refine the dashboard.
- ▶ Pilot and evaluate the dashboard.



Targeted Questions for Discussion

- ▶ **What is the minimum set of indicators and dashboard functions needed to support meaningful PrEP programme decisions?**

(there is a automatic pseudo enthusiasm from decision makers without fully understanding the utility of the tool, that's why I made the mockup, if

- ▶ **What pilot design would best assess feasibility, acceptability, appropriateness and adoption of the dashboard?**

(will interviews be enough ?)

